

Equality Impact Assessment Form

Part 1 - Initial Screening

1. Officer(s) & Unit responsible for completing the assessment:
Simon Villa Compareta Societariat
Simon Vile Corporate Secretariat

2. Name of the policy, strategy or project:

A statement of MPA member roles and responsibilities and proposals for assisting them through assessment and development.

3. What is the main purpose or aims of the policy, strategy or project?

To assist members to be effective in their role.

4. Who will be the beneficiaries of the policy/strategy/project?

MPA members personally; the MPA as a corporate and strategic body; the MPS and Londoners through more effective strategic oversight of policing by the MPA.

5. Has the policy/strategy/project been explained to those it might affect directly or indirectly?

Yes – in particular MPA members (who have been fully consulted and involved in the development of the proposals) and senior MPA officers.

6. Have you consulted on this policy?

As above there has been consultation with MPA members and officers on an iterative basis.

7. Please complete the following table and give reasons/comments for where:

(a) The policy/strategy/project could have a positive impact on any of the equality target groups or contributes to promoting equality, equal opportunities and improving relations within equality target groups.

(b) The policy/strategy/project could have a negative impact on any of the equality target groups, i.e. disadvantage them in any way. **If the impact is high, a full EIA should be completed**.

Equality Target Group	(a)	Positive Impact	(b)	Negative Impact	Reason/Comment
	High	Low	High	Low	
Men		X			
Women		Х			
Asian or Asian British people		X			
Black or Black British people		X			
White people (including Irish people)		X			
Chinese people		X			
Other racial/ethnic group (please specify)		X			
Mixed Race		Х			
Disabled people	X				
Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual people		Х			
Transgender people		X			

	X]
Older people										
(50+)										
- •										
Younger	X									
people (17-25)										
and children										
	Х									1
Faith groups										
(please specify)										
No negative impa providing them w need to improve positive impact is people as the pro	rith the opportu their effectiver s not specific to	n identified a inity to revie ness and for o particular t	and the pro w how the the organi target group	oposals are by operate, isation to a ops with the	seen as to consid ct on that possible	positive der what t require e excepti	support ment. A on of dis	they mig s such t abled	ght	
legal?	negative im	pact on an	ny equalit	ty target o	jroup, is	s the in	npact ir	ntende	d or	
legal? No										
legal? No f the negative is	impact is no	t intended	l, discrimi							
legal?	impact is no e on to the f	t intended ull assessi	l, discrimi ment.	inatory ai	nd/or hi	gh in ir	mpact, (comple	ete	
legal? No If the negative in the part 1 and move 10. What action	impact is no e on to the f	t intended ull assessi	l, discrimi ment.	inatory ai	nd/or hi	gh in ir	mpact, (comple	ete	
Iegal? No If the negative is part 1 and move 10. What action low negative N/A 11. If there is nopportuniting amendment One aim of the p	impact is no e on to the fact of the impact? o evidence the sor improves could be represented by the could be rep	t intended, ull assess aken to ar hat the pores relation made to according to the pores of the pores relation and	discrimitement. mend the blicy/stratens within chieve this	inatory are policy/stegy/projected equality is?	nd/or hi	gh in ir project notes e groups,	npact, o	mise t	ete he	
Iegal? No If the negative is part 1 and move in the negative	impact is note on to the factorial impact? o evidence to estate or improve the could be represented in the factorial indicate the could be reproposals is to pand should the factorial indicate in the factorial indicate indicate in the factorial indicate indicate in the factorial indicate in the factoria	hat the pores relation made to according to	discrimitement. mend the discy/strate is within chieve this ireater under the coverelations.	epolicy/st eegy/project equality is?	nd/or high	notes e	npact, of equality	equal	ete he	
Iegal? No If the negative is part 1 and move 10. What action low negative N/A 11. If there is nopportuniting amendment One aim of the p	impact is no e on to the fas could be to e impact? o evidence to es or improve to could be reposals is to pand should the epolicy, stra	hat the pores relation made to according to make the pores relation made to according to the promote a greefore improdutegy or promote a greefore improduced a	discrimitement. mend the discy/strate is within chieve this ireater under the coverelations.	egy/projecteguality is?	nd/or high	notes e	npact, of equality	equal	ete he	

Full Assessment necessary:
Date completed: 14.8.09
Signed by Line Manager: <u>S. Vile</u>
Signed by Equality & Diversity Unit: D. Lewins
Approved by SMT: C. Crawford

Please return a hard copy and electronic copy to the Race & Diversity Unit once completed. The original signed hard copy & an electronic copy should be kept within your unit for audit purposes.